# **Pluripotent stem cells: a new strategy for the treatment of diabetes**

Irene Falsetti<sup>1</sup>, Gaia Palmini<sup>1</sup>, Cinzia Aurilia<sup>1</sup>, Simone Donati<sup>1</sup>, Francesca Miglietta<sup>1</sup>, Teresa Iantomasi<sup>1</sup>, Maria Luisa Brandi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Experimental and Clinical Biomedical Sciences, University of Florence, Florence, Italy <sup>2</sup> F.I.R.M.O. Italian Foundation for the Research on Bone Disease, Florence, Italy

# ABSTRACT

Diabetes is now one of the most prevalent diseases in the world. Over the next twenty years, the number of affected individuals could reach 693 million. Diabetes is a group of chronic carbohydrate metabolism disorders responsible for increased blood glucose levels. It has several effects on the human body, and over the years can even become disabling, greatly impacting the patient's quality of life. The most common therapy is based on daily and chronic administration of exogenous insulin, but this is associated with a series of dangerous and potentially fatal complications. Unsurprisingly therefore, over time, studies have explored various alternatives for the treatment of diabetes, not involving the use of insulin, primarily transplantation of the whole pancreas and of the islets of Langerhans. However, neither of these solutions can be applied on a large scale, mainly due to the lack of donors. In recent years, a viable alternative to insulin and to transplantation has emerged through exploration of the use of pluripotent stem cells and their properties. In this review we analyze the state of the art in this field, and the advantages and disadvantages of cell therapies used for reconstruction of the functional unit of the pancreas, the islets of Langerhans.

## **KEYWORDS**

Diabetes, stem cells,  $\beta$  cells, stem cell therapy.

# Diabetes: classification, effects and therapy

The pancreas is an abdominal organ consisting of two portions (endocrine and exocrine) with different functions and characteristics. The exocrine portion, which makes up approximately 95-98% of the entire pancreas, produces the enzymes involved in the digestion of food and is composed of three different cell types: acinar, centroacinar and ductal <sup>[1]</sup>. The endocrine portion is responsible for controlling blood glucose homeostasis and is constituted by islets of Langerhans (which we will refer to simply as "islets" from here on), each of which is made up of five different cell types. The most abundant are  $\beta$  cells (60-80%), which secrete insulin, and  $\alpha$  cells (15-20%), which secrete glucagon. The others, less abundant, are  $\delta$  cells,  $\varepsilon$  cells, and  $\gamma$  or pancreatic polypeptide-secreting cells, which produce somatostatin, ghrelin, and pancreatic polypeptide, respectively <sup>[2]</sup>.

Each islet can be considered a highly vascularized mini-organ, characterized by a large number of connections between different cell types and innervated by the sympathetic and parasympathetic systems. The sympathetic nervous system inhibits the secretion of hormones, while the parasympathetic system stimulates their release <sup>[3]</sup>. This fine regulation is important because, in humans, insulin is the only hormone produced and secreted according to changes in blood glucose levels, in order to keep these levels, always, within a precise range <sup>[4]</sup>. In fact, in the presence of elevated blood glucose levels (a condition known as hyperglycemia), insulin restores normoglycemia by promoting glucose uptake by liver, fat, and muscle cells <sup>[5]</sup>.

### Article history

Received 18 Feb 2022 - Accepted 16 Mar 2022

### Contact

Maria Luisa Brandi; marialuisa.brandi@unifi.it; marialuisa@marialuisabrandi.it F.I.R.M.O. Italian Foundation for the Research on Bone Diseases, Via Reginaldo Giuliani 195/A, 50141 Florence, Italy

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is the main consequence of impaired pancreatic function. DM affects more than 450 million people worldwide, and the World Health Organization (WHO) predicts that this number will rise to 693 million by 2045<sup>[6]</sup>. DM is a group of chronic disorders of carbohydrate metabolism, characterized by a pathological condition of hyperglycemia, which is the normal condition of a patient with DM.

In 2019, the WHO classified DM into Type 1 diabetes (T1DM), Type 2 diabetes (T2DM), hyperglycemia during pregnancy, hybrid forms, other specific types (which include monogenic DM, among others), and unclassified forms <sup>[7]</sup>. The most common forms are T1DM (or insulin-dependent DM) and T2DM (or adult-onset diabetes, characterized by insulin resistance).

What are the causes of DM? T1DM is caused by autoimmune mechanisms that result in  $\beta$ -cell destruction, resulting in insufficient insulin production to maintain normoglycemia. T2DM, on the other hand, is due to insufficient insulin release related to insulin resistance and it accounts for approximately 90% of total cases <sup>[8]</sup>. Although the causes of these disorders are



different, their common feature is loss of  $\beta$ -cell function. The etiology of DM is still unclear. It is thought to be due to genetic and environmental factors <sup>[9]</sup>. There are several complications associated with DM, including cardiovascular disease, cerebral vascular damage, retinopathy and peripheral neuropathy <sup>[10]</sup>.

Given the increasing number of T1DM cases over the years, this form has become the focus of growing scientific attention. Pharmacological therapy of T1DM is based on repeated (chronic daily) administration of exogenous insulin, in order to ensure blood glucose homeostasis. Instead, patients with T2DM resort to exogenous insulin when they cannot adequately control glucose levels with oral medication. The most serious complication associated with daily insulin administration is hypoglycemia. In fact, patients have to monitor their glucose levels continuously, and this affects their quality of life. In addition, severe hypoglycemia can result in cognitive impairment, cardiovascular problems, and even death <sup>[11]</sup>.

Although the discovery of insulin in 1921 has allowed a great revolution in DM therapy, making the condition treatable and thus, for many people, ensuring survival, it should still be emphasized that while it is a life-saving therapy, it does not eliminate either the underlying cause of the disease or the possibility of developing the complications associated with DM itself<sup>[9]</sup>.

To try to overcome the problems associated with both hypoglycemia and suboptimal control of glucose levels, recent decades have seen the development of new insulin formulations with different onset and duration of action, so as to meet the different needs of patients <sup>[5]</sup>. Furthermore, in order to compensate for the lack or absence of  $\beta$  cells, insulin pumps have been produced, which allow the continuous infusion of the hormone into the subcutaneous tissue, <sup>[12,13]</sup> removing the need for injection, which has a strong psychological impact on patients. However, problems have also been encountered with these devices, such as the development of dermatitis in the area of application and the onset of hypoglycemia or hyperglycemia phenomena following delays in the release of insulin from the device itself<sup>[14]</sup>. Indeed, while  $\beta$  cells manage to measure blood glucose concentration every millisecond, insulin pumps take much longer<sup>[13]</sup>. Moreover, despite these improvements, other aspects remain: the considerable economic impact on the lives of patients, the possibility of developing the aforementioned disease-related complications, and the need for exercise and diet<sup>[15]</sup>.

In this regard, new strategies have been implemented, aimed ultimately at finding a therapy for T1DM which is not based on daily insulin administration. In this review we discuss these new strategies. These include cell therapy and, in particular, whole pancreas transplantation, but also islet transplantation. Here, however, we focus mainly on the use of stem cells and the differentiation protocols to which they are submitted, as this seems to be the most promising avenue to date.

## **Transplantation: organ and islets**

Initially, the main idea behind transplantation was to increase the amount of functioning  $\beta$  cells. An early clinical strategy was whole cadaver-derived pancreas transplantation. This achieved insulin independence in many patients, improv-

ing their quality of life.

However, several complications are associated with whole pancreas transplantation. First, it is a very complex type of surgery, which can lead to postoperative difficulties, including acute graft rejection, development of infections, and portal vein thrombosis <sup>[7,16,17]</sup>. In addition, this operation requires lifelong immunosuppressive therapy <sup>[18]</sup>, and is not suitable for all types of patients. This type of therapeutic strategy is generally considered for young patients who do not have cardiac problems <sup>[19]</sup>.

The aforementioned problems prompted the development of islet transplantation, an innovative clinical strategy that consists of obtaining islets from donor patients, separating them from other pancreatic cell types, purifying them, and then infusing them into the patient via the portal vein of the liver, which, due to its ease of access, is the route through which most transplants have been performed in the clinic <sup>[20,21]</sup>. It has been shown that islet transplantation, in addition to freeing the subject from insulin use for a few years, allows better metabolic control and a slower onset of DM-related problems compared with daily administration of insulin <sup>[16,22,23]</sup>.

Despite these important successes, islet transplantation is associated with problems such as lack of donors, insufficient revascularization and immunosuppression. The lack of donors is certainly the most difficult obstacle to overcome. It has been seen that two or more donors are needed for each recipient, as less than half of the islets present in the healthy pancreas can be isolated and some of those are lost in the purification processes <sup>[24-26]</sup>. There is also a loss of islets in the days immediately following transplantation due to poor revascularization, which, by creating a hypoxic environment, <sup>[27,28]</sup> hinders islet survival <sup>[29,30]</sup>. As with whole pancreas transplantation, immunosuppressive therapy is also required, and this, while necessary to defend the transplanted islets from the immune system, may result in damage to other tissues or organs <sup>[31,32]</sup>.

Until these obstacles are overcome, particularly the lack of donors, neither whole pancreas nor islet transplantation can be considered a viable therapeutic alternative to insulin administration for DM. For this reason, as mentioned above, great attention has been paid in recent years to stem cells and the possibility of using them.

### Pluripotent stem cells in DM

Stem cells are undifferentiated but capable of self-renewal and differentiation into various somatic cell types <sup>[33]</sup>. Stem cells have found application in drug development, disease modeling and regenerative medicine <sup>[34]</sup>; in particular, they have been used in the treatment of several diseases, including diabetes, cancer, neurological and cardiovascular disorders <sup>[35]</sup>. They can be classified according to their potency (totipotent, pluripotent, multipotent, oligopotent, and unipotent) or their origin (embryonic, adult, tissue-resident, and induced pluripotent) <sup>[35]</sup>.

Human pluripotent stem cells include embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs). Human ESCs (hESCs) are derived from the inner cell mass of the blas-tocyst. Although they are capable of differentiating into any cell type, and may therefore represent a valuable opportunity in

regenerative medicine, their origin has raised ethical issues <sup>[36]</sup>. These have been overcome by the use of human iPSCs (hiP-SCs), which are obtained from terminally differentiated cells with the subject's genetic profile. This means that adult somatic cells taken from the subject can be reprogrammed into iPSCs and, after the differentiation process into the desired cell type, re-implanted without the need for immunosuppression <sup>[37]</sup>.

For the therapeutic use of hESCs and hiPSCs in T1DM, the cells must undergo a precise and reproducible *in vitro* differentiation protocol leading to the production of pancreatic  $\beta$ cells that are capable of releasing insulin (and not the other hormones) and characterized by typical human  $\beta$ -cell markers. Cells derived from this differentiation are capable of secreting insulin in amounts necessary to respond to rapid changes in blood glucose levels. Thus, in this way, it is possible to replace insulin-secreting  $\beta$  cells both in DM patients in whom these cells are dysfunctional, and in those who lack these cells.

Such protocols were performed by reproducing *in vitro* the different stages that lead, in a stepwise fashion, to the *in vivo* development of the human and murine pancreas. Accordingly, stem cells were differentiated in succession into the definitive endoderm, the multipotent pancreatic progenitor, the endocrine progenitor, and finally into hormone-secreting endocrine cells<sup>[38]</sup>.

For hESCs and hiPSCs to transform into insulin-secreting endocrine cells, it is necessary to add small molecules and growth factors to the culture medium, to momentarily allow activation or inhibition of different signaling pathways. It is equally important to define which combination of small molecules or growth factors to use for each step of the differentiation process, as well as their concentration and exposure time. Activin A (mimics the proendodermic action of Nodal), NOG-GIN (inhibits liver lineage differentiation), thyroid hormone tri-iodothyronine (T3) (promotes the expression of neurogenin 3 (NEUROG3), which is essential for  $\beta$ -cell differentiation and maturation), and vitamin E (an inducer of avian musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog-A (MAFA)) are some of the factors used, respectively, in the steps of the differentiation protocol <sup>[38]</sup>.

Differentiation protocols also include analysis of key markers of differentiation at the end of each stage. The definitive endoderm is characterized by the expression of forkhead box (FOX) A2, sex-determining region Y box (SOX) 17, and chemokine receptor 4. The multipotent pancreatic progenitors express pancreatic and duodenal homeobox 1 transcription factor (PDX1), NK6 homeobox protein 1 (NKX6.1), and SOX9. Endocrine progenitors are characterized by the expression of PDX1, NKX6.1, NEUROG3, and neurogenic differentiation factor 1 (NEUROD1). Finally, insulin, PDX1, NKX6.1, paired box homeodomain transcription factor (PAX) 6, NEUROD1, MAFA, and motor neuron and pancreatic homeobox 1 (MNX1) expression characterizes mature  $\beta$  cells <sup>[19]</sup>. So, analysis of specific markers is necessary to be sure that the differentiation process has started, and is proceeding correctly, step by step, and also to remove any undifferentiated stem cells [4].

In 2009, Zhang *et al.* performed the first protocol, which allowed them to obtain mature insulin-secreting pancreatic cells from hESCs and iPSCs in four steps, namely a) endoderm induction, b) pancreatic specialization, c) progenitor expan-

sion, and d) maturation [17]. As the first step, they induced stem cells to differentiate into the endoderm lineage using Activin A and Wortmannin, and confirmed the successful differentiation through analysis of the expression of SOX17 and FOXA2, two markers that characterize the endoderm lineage (Table I). The cells obtained were treated with retinoic acid (RA), NOGGIN and Fibroblast Growth Factor (FGF) 7 and the markers analyzed were PDX1, PAX4, PAX6 and hepatocyte nuclear factor (Hnf) 6. The third phase is characterized by the presence of Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF) and the differentiation markers analyzed were PDX1, FOXA2, SOX9, and Hnf1B. At this point, progenitor cells were induced to mature through the use of a mix of factors (basic FGF (bFGF), Exendin-4, nicotinamide and bone morphogenetic protein 4). After 20 days, expression of MAFA, insulin, Glut2, NKX6-1, glucokinase, and transcription factor 12 was observed, indicating the obtaining of insulin-positive cells. Through flow cytometry, it was seen that 25% of the cultured cells were insulin-positive.

In 2014, Rezania et al., refining some steps of their previous protocols, developed one using hESCs and consisting of seven steps, namely 1) definitive endoderm, 2) primitive gut tube, 3) posterior foregut, 4) pancreatic endoderm, 5) pancreatic endocrine precursors, 6) immature  $\beta$  cells, and 7) maturing  $\beta$  cells <sup>[39]</sup>. For each step, they used a different combination of small molecules and growth factors (i.e., GDF8, GSK3 $\beta$  inh, FGF7, vitamin C, RA, SANT, TPB, LDN193189 (LDN), activin receptor-like kinase 5 II inhibitor (Alk5 inh), T3, GS inh XX, N-acetyl cysteine (NAC), AXL inh) (Table I). This protocol differs from the previous ones in that, previously, polyhormonal cells with fetal endocrine-like characters were obtained, whereas with the protocol of Rezania et al., about 50% of mature  $\beta$  cells were found to be insulin-positive and expressing typical β-cell markers (i.e., PDX1 and NKX6.1). Furthermore, the mature  $\beta$  cells thus generated had an insulin content similar to that of human islets and, like these, were able to secrete insulin in response to glucose. Although these cells were also able to reverse DM in mice, differences with human islet cells emerged: insulin was released from the mature  $\beta$  cells more slowly, and in smaller amounts.

In 2014, another research group developed a six-step protocol that, in five weeks, allowed a large-scale, three-dimensional culture system to obtain  $\beta$  cells from hPSCs with 30% efficiency <sup>[40]</sup>. This protocol was innovative in that gamma secretase inhibitor (XXI), Alk5 inh, and T3 were used in the final steps. Other substances used were Activin A, CHIR9901 (CHIR), Keratinocyte Growth Factor (KGF), RA, SANT1, LDN, phorbol 12,13-dibutyrate (PdbU), heparin, betacelluin (Table I). *In vivo* studies reported that differentiated  $\beta$  cells were monohormonal, capable of secreting insulin after glucose stimulation, and of decreasing hyperglycemia in mice, and that their gene expression was similar to that of human islet cells.

Instead, Russ *et al.*, in 2015, attempted to draft a simplified protocol that traces the various stages of human endocrine development and leads hESCs to differentiate into human pancreatic progenitors and endocrine cells. This protocol involves treating hESCs with WNT3a, Activin A, TGFb inh, KGF, RA, cyclopamine, NOGGIN, EGF, TBP, and Alk5 inh (Table I) <sup>[41]</sup>.  $\beta$  cells derived from hESCs were able to release insulin follow-

REPORT	NUMBER OF STAGES	NAME OF STAGES	SMALL MOLECULES AND GROWTH FACTORS	REFERENCE
Zhang <i>et al.</i>	4	Endoderm induction, pancreatic specialization, progenitor expansion, maturation	Activin A, Wortmannin, RA, NOGGIN, FGF7, EGF, bFGF, Exendin-4, nicotinamide, bone morphogenetic protein 4	17
Rezania <i>et al.</i>	7	Definitive endoderm, primitive gut tube, posterior foregut, pancreatic endoderm, pancreatic endocrine precursors, immature $\beta$ cells, maturing $\beta$ cells	GDF8, GSK3 $\beta$ inh, FGF7, vitamin C, RA, SANT, TPB, LDN, Alk5 inh, T3, GS inh XX, NAC, AXL inh	39
Pagliuca <i>et al.</i>	6	Definitive endoderm, primitive gut tube, pancreatic progenitors 1, pancreatic progenitors 2, endocrine cells, stem cell derived $\beta$ cells	Activin A, CHIR, KGF, RA, SANT1, LDN, PdbU, T3, XXI, Alk5 inh, heparin, betacellulin	40
Russ <i>et al.</i>	5	Gut tube, PDX1+ progenitor, PDX1/NKX6.1+ progenitor, endocrine progenitor	WNT3a, Activin A, TGFb inh, KGF, RA, cyclopamine, NOGGIN, EGF, TBP, Alk5 inh	41
Nair <i>et al.</i>	8	Gut tube, pancreatic foregut, pancreatic progenitors, immature $\beta$ -like cells, immature $\beta$ -like cell selection, reaggregation, enriched $\beta$ -cell clusters	WNT3a, Activin A, TGB inh, KGF, RA, EGF, Alk inh, T3, XXI, LDN, vitamin C	42
Velazco-Cruz <i>et al.</i>	6	Definitive endoderm, primitive gut tube, pancreatic progenitors 1, pancreatic progenitors 2, endocrine cells, stem cell derived $\beta$ cells	Activin A, CHIR, KGF, RA, SANT1, Y, LDN, PdbU, T3, XXI, Alk5 inh, betacellulin	45
Yoshihara <i>et al.</i>	5	Definitive endoderm, pancreatic progenitors, endocrine progenitor, islet-like organoids	Activin A, GSK3β inh, FGF7, vitamin C, RA, TGFβ inh, BMPR inh, Hedgehog inh, PKC, T3, Alk5 inh, Notch inh, vitamin E, cAMP, WNT4	46
Li et al.	6	Stage 1-6	Activin A, CHIR, bFGF, KGF, vitamin C, NOGGIN, SANT1, RA, EGF, nicotinamide, RepSox, GC1, LDN, XXI, Y, R428, Trolox, NAC	49

### Table I Summary of key features of differentiation protocols.

ing an increase in glucose and expressed key factors of human  $\beta$  cells. Furthermore, these differentiated cells remained glucose-sensitive after short-term transplantation.

A step forward in the study of pancreatic differentiation was achieved by Nair et al., who developed a protocol that reproduced not only pancreatic organogenesis but also the subsequent clustering of endocrine cells, which is a necessary condition for cell maturation [42]. Their protocol was structured into ESCs, gut tube, pancreatic foregut, pancreatic progenitors, immature  $\beta$ -like cells, selection of immature  $\beta$ -like cells, reaggregation and obtaining of enriched  $\beta$ -cell clusters by treating the cells with several pancreatic differentiation protocols (i.e., WNT3a, Activin A, TGB inh, KGF, RA, EGF, Alk inh, T3, XXI, LDN and vitamin C) (Table I).  $\beta$ -like cells were selected by fluorescence-activated cell sorting and then re-aggregated into clusters, which were cultured for five to seven days. Hence, they obtained "pancreatic clusters" similar to human islets, but with higher expression of  $\beta$  cell markers. They compared  $\beta$ cells before and after clustering and showed not only that those constituting clusters were more mature, but also that these cells had physiological properties corresponding to those of human islet cells, like dynamic insulin release. This is an important aspect to confirm the development of an improved differentiation protocol. In recent years, several studies have in fact attempted to find the best differentiation protocol. For example, Wang et al. and Sharon et al. differentiated stem cells into insulin-secreting cells through protocols created by modifying existing and cited ones [43,44]. The modifications concern the number of steps or the concentrations of the substances used.

Recently, Velazco-Cruz *et al.* implemented a six-step differentiation protocol, improving on a number of other existing

protocols [45]. The steps of their protocol were definitive endoderm, primitive gut tube, pancreatic progenitors 1 and 2, endocrine cells, and stem cell-derived  $\beta$  cells, and the substances added to the culture medium were Activin A, CHIR, KGF, RA, SANT1, Y27632 (Y), LDN, PdbU, T3, XXI, Alk5 inh, and betacellulin, while the last step involves the use of serum-free medium (Table I). With this protocol, they were able to obtain colonies of endocrine cells that were almost monohormonal and expressed  $\beta$ -cell markers. The changes they made were the use, in the last step, of substances different from those commonly used in other protocols (such as T3 and NAC) and of serum-free medium, the decrease in cluster size, and the modulation of the transforming growth factor- $\beta$  pathway. Certainly, the most important result of this protocol was the demonstration that stem cell-derived  $\beta$  cells showed a dynamic response to glucose. This is something that is typical of healthy  $\beta$  cells but is impaired in DM. The authors also demonstrated that stem cells differentiated in this way began to control DM in streptozotocin-treated mice as early as 10 days.

Recently, Yoshihara *et al.*<sup>[46]</sup>, echoing their own previous work and that of other groups <sup>[39-42,47]</sup>, developed a protocol consisting of five steps and using Activin A, GSK3 $\beta$  inh, FGF7, vitamin C, RA, TGF $\beta$  inh, BMPR inh, Hedgehog inh, PKC, T3, Alk5 inh, Notch inh, vitamin E, cAMP, and WNT4, which allowed them to differentiate pluripotent stem cells into insulin-positive and glucose-sensitive  $\beta$ -like cells and thus obtain human islet-like organoids (Table I). They demonstrated that these organoids are able to restore normoglycemia in immunocompetent diabetic mice and that the non-canonical WNT4 pathway is critical for metabolic maturation of  $\beta$ -like cells so that they release insulin in response to glucose. Finally, in 2020, Li *et al.*, on the basis of the studies of Pagliuca *et al.*<sup>[40]</sup>, Rezania *et al.*<sup>[39]</sup>, and Nostro *et al.*<sup>[48]</sup>, generated an efficient six-step protocol using Activin A, CHIR, bFGF, KGF, vitamin C, NOGGIN, SANT1, RA, EGF, nicotinamide, RepSox, GC1, LDN, XXI, Y, R428, Trolox, and NAC (Table I) <sup>[49]</sup>. They were able to reproduce the structure of human cadaveric islets with the presence of all cell types, particularly  $\beta$  cells. In addition, these  $\beta$ -like cells, upon depolarization with KCl, were able to secrete a higher amount of insulin than the cells released in previous protocols, demonstrating the improvement of the protocol. The authors also observed that CD9 is a negative marker of  $\beta$ -like cells and that CD9<sup>-</sup>  $\beta$ -like cells.

Table I lists the main steps characterizing the analyzed differentiation protocols.

As the above studies show, differentiation protocols have changed over the years, making it possible to obtain, from stem cells,  $\beta$ -like cells with characteristics similar to those of human islets. However, before they can be clinically applied in humans, these protocols require further improvements to ensure that these  $\beta$ -like cells really are mature, monohormonal, and able to respond to glucose.

### Conclusions

Over the years several complications related to the therapeutic use of insulin have been identified, leading researchers to start to evaluate whether transplantation of both whole pancreas and islets can be considered a good therapeutic alternative. As we have seen, this route does not constitute a definitive solution. In recent years there has been considerable progress in the study of the properties of stem cells and their possible therapeutic application in DM. It is clear that there remain obstacles to overcome, including safety issues, variations in differentiation efficiency, polyhormonal cell formation, lack of maturation, low survival rate and immunogenicity, and heterogeneity of islet cells [50]. Despite these objective issues, it is undeniable that, to date, pluripotent stem cells are among the most advanced cell therapies both in terms of study and feasibility, as confirmed by the optimization of various differentiation protocols over the years. In fact, there has been a progressive improvement of protocols that, with different approaches, have managed to obtain, with increasing efficiency, insulin-positive  $\beta$ -like cells capable of reversing DM in mice. Further *in vivo* studies in patients are needed to understand the cellular mechanisms of  $\beta$ -like cells differentiated from stem cells and thus allow even better insights into the mechanisms of DM itself.

## References

- Larsen HL, Grapin-Botton A. The molecular and morphogenetic basis of pancreas organogenesis. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2017;66:51-68.
- Benitez CM, Qu K, Sugiyama T et al. An integrated cell purification and genomics strategy reveals multiple regulators of pancreas development. PLoS Genet. 2014;10(10):e1004645.

- Atkinson MA, Campbell-Thompson M, Kusmartseva I, Kaestner KH. Organisation of the human pancreas in health and in diabetes. Diabetologia. 2020;63(10):1966-73.
- Bourgeois S, Sawatani T, Van Mulders A et al. Towards a functional cure for diabetes using stem cell-derived beta cells: are we there yet? Cells. 2021;10(1):191.
- Ahmad K. Insulin sources and types: a review of insulin in terms of its mode on diabetes mellitus. J Tradit Chin Med. 2014;34(2):234-7.
- Cho NH, Shaw JE, Karuranga S et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global estimates of diabetes prevalence for 2017 and projections for 2045. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2018;138:271-81.
- World Health Organization. Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. World Health Organization; Geneva, Switzerland: 2019. p. 40.
- Atkinson MA, Roep BO, Posgai A, Wheeler DCS, Peakman M. The challenge of modulating β-cell autoimmunity in type 1 diabetes. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2019;7(1):52-64.
- Vallianou NG, Stratigou T, Geladari E, Tessier CM, Mantzoros CS, Dalamaga M. Diabetes type 1: Can it be treated as an autoimmune disorder? Rev Endocr Metab Disord. 2021;22(4):859-76.
- Maiese K. Nicotinamide as a foundation for treating neurodegenerative disease and metabolic disorders. Curr Neurovasc Res. 2021;18(1):134-49.
- Piemonti L. Felix dies natalis, insulin... ceterum autem censeo "beta is better". Acta Diabetol. 2021;58(10):1287-306.
- McAdams BH, Rizvi AA. An Overview of insulin pumps and glucose sensors for the generalist. J Clin Med. 2016;5(1):5.
- Melton D. The promise of stem cell-derived islet replacement therapy. Diabetologia. 2021;64(5):1030-6.
- Soetedjo AAP, Lee JM, Lau HH et al. Tissue engineering and 3D printing of bioartificial pancreas for regenerative medicine in diabetes. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2021;32(8):609-22.
- Cayabyab F, Nih LR, Yoshihara E. Advances in pancreatic islet transplantation sites for the treatment of diabetes. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2021;12:732431.
- Warnock GL, Thompson DM, Meloche RM et al. A multi-year analysis of islet transplantation compared with intensive medical therapy on progression of complications in type 1 diabetes. Transplantation. 2008;86(12):1762-6.
- Zhang D, Jiang W, Liu M et al. Highly efficient differentiation of human ES cells and iPS cells into mature pancreatic insulin-producing cells. Cell Res. 2009;19(4):429-38.
- 18. Wojtusciszyn A, Branchereau J, Esposito L et al. Indications for islet or pancreatic transplantation: Statement of the TREPID working group on behalf of the Société francophone du diabète (SFD), Société francaise d'endocrinologie (SFE), Société francophone de transplantation (SFT) and Société française de néphrologie dialyse transplantation (SFNDT). Diabetes Metab. 2019;45(3):224-37.
- Wszola M, Nitarska D, Cywoniuk P, Gomólka M, Klak M. Stem cells as a source of pancreatic cells for production of 3D bioprinted bionic pancreas in the treatment of Type 1 diabetes. Cells. 2021;10(6):1544.
- Sutherland DER, Gruessner AC, Carlson AM et al. Islet autotransplant outcomes after total pancreatectomy: a contrast to islet allograft outcomes. Comparative Study Transplantation. 2008;86(12):1799-802.
- 21. Bottino R, Bertera S, Grupillo M et al. Isolation of human islets for autologous islet transplantation in children and adolescents with chronic pancreatitis. J Transplant. 2012;2012:642787.
- Luzi L, Hering BJ, Socci C et al. Metabolic effects of successful intraportal islet transplantation in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Comparative Study J Clin Invest. 1996;97(11):2611-8.
- Luzi L, Perseghin G, Brendel MD et al. Metabolic effects of restoring partial beta-cell function after islet allotransplantation in type 1 diabetic patients. Diabetes. 2001;50(2):277-82.
- Balamurugan AN, Breite AG, Anazawa T et al. Successful human islet isolation and transplantation indicating the importance of class 1 collagenase and collagen degradation activity assay. Transplantation. 2010;89(8):954-61.

- Campbell-Thompson ML, Heiple T, Montgomery E, Zhang L, Schneider L. Staining protocols for human pancreatic islets. J Vis Exp. 2012;(63):e4068.
- 26. Alejandro R, Barton FB, Hering BJ, Wease S, Collaborative Islet Transplant Registry Investigators. 2008 Update from the collaborative islet transplant registry. Transplantation. 2008;86(12):1783-8.
- Carlsson PO, Palm F, Mattsson G. Low revascularization of experimentally transplanted human pancreatic islets. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2002;87(12):5418-23.
- Davalli AM, Scaglia L, Zangen DH, Hollister J, Bonner-Weir S, Weir GC. Vulnerability of islets in the immediate posttransplantation period. Dynamic changes in structure and function. Diabetes. 1996;45(9):1161-7.
- 29. Wang M, Crager M, Pugazhenthi S. Modulation of apoptosis pathways by oxidative stress and autophagy in  $\beta$  cells. Exp Diabetes Res. 2012;2012:647914.
- 30. Keshtkar S, Kaviani M, Jabbarpour Z et al. Protective effect of nobiletin on isolated human islets survival and function against hypoxia and oxidative stress-induced apoptosis. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):11701.
- Vaithilingam V, Tuch BE. Islet transplantation and encapsulation: an update on recent developments. Rev Diabet Stud. 2011;8(1):51-67.
- Kuschal C, Thoms KM, Schubert S et al. Skin cancer in organ transplant recipients: effects of immunosuppressive medications on DNA repair. Exp Dermatol. 2012;21(1):2-6.
- 33. Zakrzewski W, Dobrzyňski M, Szymonowicz M, Rybak Z. Stem cells: past, present, and future. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2019;10(1):68.
- Liu G, David BT, Trawczynski M, Fessler RG. Advances in pluripotent stem cells: history, mechanisms, technologies, and applications. Stem Cell Rev Rep. 2020;16(1):3-32.
- Sarkar A, Saha S, Paul A, Maji A, Roy P, Maity TK. Understanding stem cells and its pivotal role in regenerative medicine. Life Sci. 2021;273:119270.
- Damdimopoulou P, Rodin S, Stenfelt S, Antonsson L, Tryggvason K, Hovatta O. Human embryonic stem cells. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2016;31:2-12.
- Docherty FM, Sussel L. Islet regeneration: endogenous and exogenous approaches. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(7):3306.
- 38. Cierpka-Kmiec K, Wronska A, Kmiec Z. In vitro generation of pancre-

atic β-cells for diabetes treatment. I. β-like cells derived from human pluripotent stem cells. Folia Histochem Cytobiol. 2019;57(1):1-14.

- Rezania A, Bruin JE, Arora P et al. Reversal of diabetes with insulin-producing cells derived in vitro from human pluripotent stem cells. Nat Biotechnol. 2014;32(11):1121-33.
- Pagliuca FW, Millman JR, Gürtler M et al. Generation of functional human pancreatic β cells in vitro. Cell. 2014;159(2):428-39.
- Russ HA, Parent AV, Ringler JJ et al. Controlled induction of human pancreatic progenitors produces functional beta-like cells in vitro. EMBO J. 2015;34(13):1759-72.
- Nair GG, Liu JS, Russ HA et al. Recapitulating endocrine cell clustering in culture promotes maturation of human stem-cell-derived β cells. Nat Cell Biol. 2019;21(2):263-74.
- Wang Q, Donelan W, Ye H et al. Real-time observation of pancreatic beta cell differentiation from human induced pluripotent stem cells. Am J Transl Res. 2019;11(6):3490-504.
- Sharon N, Vanderhooft J, Straubhaar J et al. Wnt signaling separates the progenitor and endocrine compartments during pancreas development. Cell Rep. 2019;27(8):2281-91.
- Velazco-Cruz L, Song J, Maxwel KG et al. Acquisition of dynamic function in human stem cell-derived β cells. Stem Cell Reports. 2019;12(2):351-65.
- Yoshihara E, O'Connor C, Gasser E et al. Immune-evasive human islet-like organoids ameliorate diabetes. Nature. 2020;586(7830):606-11.
- 47. Yoshihara E, Wei Z, Lin CS et al. ERR $\gamma$  is required for the metabolic maturation of therapeutically functional glucose-responsive  $\beta$  cells. Cell Metab. 2016;23(4):622-34.
- Nostro MC, Sarangi F, Yang C et al. Efficient generation of NKX6-1+ pancreatic progenitors from multiple human pluripotent stem cell lines. Stem Cell Reports. 2015;4(4):591-604.
- 49. Li X, Yang KY, Chan VW et al. Single-cell RNA-Seq reveals that CD9 is a negative marker of glucose-responsive pancreatic β-like cells derived from human pluripotent stem cells. Stem Cell Reports. 2020;15(5):1111-26.
- Shahjalal HMd, Dayem AA, Lim KM, Jeon TI, Cho SG. Generation of pancreatic β cells for treatment of diabetes: advances and challenges. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2018;9(1):355.

Conflict of interest: None declared

Author Contributions: Each author contributed equally and approved the final version of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: This research was supported by Fondazione Italiana Ricerca sulle Malattie dell'Osso (F.I.R.M.O Onlus).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.